LOCAL PLAN RISK REGISTER DATE OF LATEST REVISION - 26.69.23																			
ID REF.	DATE RAISED	RISK DESCRIPTION	RISK SCORE		MITIGATION MEASURES		AFTER RISK MITIGATION					COMPLETE							
			LIKELIH IMPACT OOD	TOTAL SCORE		LIKELI HOOD	IMPACT	SCORE	OFFICER			DATE							
	24.08.20, descry. amended 20.12.22	Staffing risks. Insufficient capacity, skills, knowledge and effective working to complete the plan in timely fashion.	4 5		Extra officer support from AECOM and LUC pre-consultation.	2	4		DH		26.09.23 - an interim head of service joined the team on 13 March - contract extended to end of Reg 18 consultation. Ongoing relationship with AECOM and LUC to provide support as required.			4	8	12	16	20	8 -14 urgent action - take immediate action and stop task if required
2	24.08.20	Evidence base flawed, incomplete or not up to date	2 4	8	Effective project management and governance	1	4	4	АМ		26.09.23 A review of the evidence work completed and evidence beak has been updated to support the draft plan at consultation. Not all evidence requirements can be enricipated, and this is borne in mind on an ongoing basis (e.g. several strands of additional current evidentiation and the strands of additional current evidentiation and the strands of additional current evidentiation and the strands of additional based of the strands of the strands of the strands of budget and timescale.			3	6	9	12	15	5 - 7 Monitor - look to improve at the next review
3	24.08.20	Failure to address corporate vision and objectives	2 3	6	Effective governance and project management	1	3	3	DH/AM		Note that there can be tensions between corporate vision & objectives and those of national policy & legislation.			2	4	6	8	10	1 - 4 no action required, ensure controls are maintained and reviewed
	07.09.20, descr. & mitig. amended 20.12.22	Lack of political consensus, e.g. unable to agree a plan meeting national requirements	5 5	25	Establish LPLG with regular briefings and engagement with members. Also s	4	5	20			26.0.23 Officers hold fortnighty meetings with lead Members and have hold 2 LPKG meetings in Aug and Sept. There was an all Cit briefing in June. The general consense of the Council membership however remains unknown until the meeting of Full Council. If the Council does not agree to consult on the current draft it will be very difficult to get a plan in place before the Gowment's cut off date. This is now our highest reled risk.			1	2	3	4	5	
5	19.11.21	Plan is found to be unsound because choice of proposed sites not supported by the evidence base	2 5	10	Robust evidence base driving the selection of proposed sites.	1	5	5	DH/AM		The site selection methodology shared with LPLG. Member brieling session 12/6/23. Monthly meetings with Counsel.			Likelihood					
6	10.10.22	The proposed changes to the timetable extend the period for which the district is at risk of speculative development.	4 4	16	The pressure of time is in tension with robustness and quality (see evidence etc. risks), requiring astute judgment in balancing these concerns and managing and how tasks are undertaken.	4	4		DH		26.9.2023 No changes to the timeline are proposed by officers (however see 4 above). Government appears to have more appetite to intervene in plans (eg. Spelithorne).			Likelihood					
7		The timetable proposed in the LDS silps. There are always unknown factors/issues arining in the production of a Local Plan that require consideration and may result in slippage. Government intervention would significantly damage the reputation of the Council	4 4	16	The Local Plan preparation's project plan is actively managed, and reported to COB and Scrutiny Citee.	3	4		АМ		26.9.2023 Officers on course as per limetable cilled at previous Scrunity Citee meeting. Government interveniion more likely, depsite some 40 councils now not progressing plans.								
	10.10.22, descr. amended 20.12.22	Major changes in national policy or legislation may require (or enable) a radically offerent plan. The Levelling plan A Regeneration Bill, for example, includes some significant proposed changes.	4 4	16	The Council will monitor upcoming consultation and anticipated changes.	2	4	8	DH		Leakhood of change, potentially highly significant to the project, but impact and detail of its remain uncertain. Recent announcements of policy change (e.g. h housing well leiky not be known for some months. LURE current well leiky not be known for some months. LURE current ong through parlament. LUDC responding to government consultations. Concisus of general election within next 14 months.								
	20.12.22	There are insufficient feasible sites to deliver the scale of housing growth required.	3 5	15	Prioritise re-assessment of strategic growth area proposals to identify which, if any, are realistic 'options'.	2	5	10	DH/AM		and volume of sites available.	26.09.23							
	22.02.2023	Pollical change or number of member changes following District elections in May 2023.	3 4	12	Regular sessions for Leader / Portfolk Holder and LPLG are already sechduled and these will need to be shared with any new members should appointments change post-election. May also need extra sessions to bring any new members up to date.	2	3	6		Closed	the plan process. Next local election post planned adoption of plan.	26.09.23							
11	26.09.23	Insufficient staff resouces to process the volume of consultation reponses to the draft plan will delay progression of Reg 19	3 5	15	Monitor number of responses recieved and evaluate existing internal staff capacity to assist with processing reps. Discussing with DLUHC what techniclogies available to asssit with this.	2	5	10	DH/AM		NEW								